1 2 3 4 5	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP RAYMOND F. LYNCH, SBN 119065 rlynch@hansonbridgett.com MATTHEW J. PECK, SBN 287934 mpeck@hansonbridgett.com 425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-3200 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366	
6 7	Attorneys for Board of Retirement of the Imperia County Employees' Retirement System	.1
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP GILBERT R. SEROTA (No. 75305) gilbert.serota@apks.com AMY V. ENDICOTT (No. 281298) amy.endicott@apks.com ALISON C. EPSTEIN (No. 311081) alison.epstein@apks.com Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: 415.471.3100 Facsimile: 415.471.3400  Attorneys for Defendants Franklin Templeton Investor Services, LLC, Franklin/Templeton Distributors, Inc., and Templeton Institutional Funds	
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	BOARD OF RETIREMENT OF THE IMPERIAL COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM,  Plaintiff,  v.  FRANKLIN TEMPLETON INVESTOR SERVICES, LLC., FRANKLIN/TEMPLETON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., TEMPLETON INSTITUTIONAL FUNDS, INC. AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01784-JST  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING DATES FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS  Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar Courtroom: 9, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor
27	Defendants.	

WHEREAS, plaintiff Imperial County Employees' Retirement System (the "Plaintiff") filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Mateo on January 18, 2017, followed by a First Amended Complaint on March 1, 2017. *See* ECF 1-1 (First Amended Complaint).

WHEREAS, defendants Franklin Templeton Investor Services, LLC, Franklin/Templeton Distributors, Inc. and Templeton Institutional Funds (collectively, the "Defendants") removed this action to this Court on March 31, 2017 and it was assigned to Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Sperro. *See* ECF 1 (Notice of Removal).

WHEREAS, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss (the "Motion") the Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on April 7, 2017 which was set to be heard by Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Sperro on May 19, 2017 at 2:00 pm. *See* ECF 8 (Motion).

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's opposition (the "Opposition") to the Motion is due April 21, 2017 and Defendants' reply (the "Reply") in support of their Motion is due April 28, 2017 pursuant to Rule 7-3 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

WHEREAS, Defendants declined to consent to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge and, as a result, the Clerk of Court vacated the May 19, 2017 hearing date pending reassignment. *See* ECF 12 (Defendants' Declination), 14 (Clerk of Court's Notice of Impending Reassignment).

WHEREAS, this action was assigned to United States District Court Judge Jon S. Tigar on April 18, 2017. *See* ECF 15 (Order Reassigning Case).

WHEREAS, the parties have been engaged in settlement discussions since the filing of the Motion and, as a result of the directive vacating the hearing date, and in order to facilitate the ongoing settlement discussions between the parties, the parties agree that the deadlines for the Opposition and Reply briefs should be briefly vacated to enable the parties to focus adequate resources on pursuing settlement which may avoid unnecessary use of judicial resources in the interim.

WHEREAS, no other time modification has been requested or obtained in this action and, consistently, the parties do not believe the granting of this modification would impact the schedule

1 in this action. 2 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the 3 respective parties hereto, subject to the approval of the Court, that: 4 1. Plaintiff shall not be required to file their Opposition on April 21, 2017. 5 2. Defendants shall not be required to file their Reply on April 28, 2017. 6 3. Within ten (10) days of the filing of this stipulation, the parties will meet-and-7 confer to attempt to agree upon a rescheduled hearing date for the Motion and a briefing schedule 8 for the Opposition and Reply and, if necessary, will submit a revised schedule to this Court for 9 approval no later than April 26, 2017. 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 11 DATED: April 18, 2017 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP 12 13 By: /s/ Raymond F. Lynch RAYMOND F. LYNCH 14 MATTHEW J. PECK 15 Attorneys for Board of Retirement of the Imperial County Employees' Retirement System 16 17 18 DATED: April 18, 2017 ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP 19 20 21 By: /s/ Gilbert R. Serota GILBERT R. SEROTA 22 AMY V. ENDICOTT 23 ALISON C. EPSTEIN Attorneys for Defendants Franklin Templeton 24 Investor Services, LLC, Franklin/Templeton Distributors, Inc., and Templeton Institutional 25 Funds. 26 27 28 Case No. 3:17-cv-01784-JCS

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

The parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff shall not be required to file its Opposition on April 21, 2017.
- 2. Defendants shall not be required to file their Reply on April 28, 2017.
- 3. Within ten (10) days of the filing of this stipulation, the parties will meet-and-confer to attempt to agree upon a rescheduled hearing date for the Motion and a briefing schedule for the Opposition and Reply and, if necessary, will submit to this Court for approval no later than April 26, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 18, 2017

THE HONORABLE JON 9. TIGAR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case No. 3:17-cv-01784-JCS